IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 17362 of 1997

Between:

Dr. B.Venkatalaxmi, D/o Butchanna,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, Tirupathi,
Chittoor District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, rep. by its Executive Officer Tirupathi
2 Government of Andhra Pradesh. rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowments) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3 Dr. K.Usha Rani, T.T.D. Central Hospital Tirupathi
4 Dr. D.Venugopal Reddy, Aswani Hospital, Tirumala.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other writ, order, or order or direction more in the nature of mandamus declaring the Resolution No.156 dt. 19/20.5.97 of the Respondent No.1 as bad illegal and motivated and consequently direct Respondent No.2 to reject the said resolution.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.J.SATYA PRASAD

Counsel for Respondent No.1 : MS.P.SARADA, SC

Counsel for Respondent No.2 : --

Counsel for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 : Mr. O.Manohar Reddy.

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER :

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the decision taken by the first respondent by passing resolution bearing No.156, dated 19/20-5-1997 proposing to amend the rules issued in G.O.Ms.No.1060, Revenue (Endowments.I) Department, dated 24-10-1989 in order to suit the interests of respondents 3 and 4. The petitioner also sought for an interim direction directing the second respondent not to consider the said resolution of the first respondent.

This Court granted interim direction on 30-7-1997 in W.P.M.P.No.21051 of 1997 and the said interim direction was also made absolute on 11-8-1999. Further, it is represented that during the pendency of the writ petition, the writ petitioner as well as the un-official respondents retired from service during 2002. In that view of the matter the cause of action does not survive.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(S.ANANDA REDDY,J.)

2-11-2004.

Msr.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam,
Tirupathi.
2 The Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. 2CD copies

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.17362 of 1997

2-11-2004.

(Msr)